

Opinion

Virtual Visits in Ophthalmology:

Timely Advice for Implementation During the COVID-19 Public Health Crisis

Theodore Bowe, BS,^{1,2} David G. Hunter, MD, PhD,¹⁻³
Iason S. Mantagos, MD, PhD,¹⁻³ Melanie Kazlas, MD,¹⁻³
Benjamin G. Jastrzembski, MD,¹⁻³ Eric D. Gaier, MD, PhD,¹⁻⁴
Gordon Massey, MBA, CRA,² Kristin Franz, MBA,²
Caitlin Schumann, MPH,⁵ Christina Brown, MS,⁵
Heather Meyers, MBA,⁵ and Ankoor S. Shah, MD, PhD^{1-3,5}

¹Harvard Medical School, Boston, Massachusetts, USA.

²Department of Ophthalmology, Boston Children's Hospital, Boston, Massachusetts, USA.

³Department of Ophthalmology, Massachusetts Eye and Ear, Harvard Medical School, Boston, Massachusetts, USA.

⁴Department of Brain and Cognitive Sciences, Picower Institute for Learning and Memory, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, Massachusetts, USA.

⁵Innovation and Digital Health Accelerator, Boston Children's Hospital, Boston, Massachusetts, USA.

Abstract

Virtual visits (VVs) are necessitated due to the public health crisis and social distancing mandates due to COVID-19. However, these have been rare in ophthalmology. Over 3.5 years of conducting >350 ophthalmological VVs, our group has gained numerous insights into best practices. This communication shares these experiences with the medical community to support patient care during this difficult time and beyond. We highlight that mastering the technological platform of choice, optimizing lighting, camera positioning, and “eye contact,” being thoughtful and creative with the virtual eye examination, and ensuring good documenting and billing will make a successful and efficient VV. Moreover, we think these ideas will stimulate further VV creativity and expertise to be developed in ophthalmology and across medicine. This approach, holds promise for increasing its adoption after the crisis has passed.

Keywords: telemedicine, telehealth, ophthalmology, COVID-19

Introduction

Virtual visits (VVs) decrease patient cost,¹ increase satisfaction,^{2,3} and allow ophthalmologists to care for patients efficiently.³ With massive disruptions in health care caused by COVID-19, interest in VVs

for ophthalmology and across medicine is increasing. Numerous national and state agencies recognize telehealth as vital during this emergency,⁴⁻⁷ lifting regulatory boundaries such as utilizing Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act (HIPAA)-non-compliant audiovisual platforms for VVs⁷ and adding temporary pay parity between in-person and telehealth visits to facilitate social distancing while simultaneously maintaining access to health care. Prior to the COVID-19 outbreak, we had already conducted hundreds of VVs dating back to 2016 leading to an understanding of best practices that are transferrable to other subspecialties beyond pediatric ophthalmology and strabismus. We hope that these best practices will be helpful to others, and we believe that this dialogue will spur innovation among colleagues.

Technological Platforms

We use a commercially available HIPAA-compliant platform that integrates with our scheduling software. This platform provides a virtual waiting room that scrolls through a series of customizable screens, which we use to inform patients of best practices to optimize their VV and their estimated wait time. Disadvantages are that it requires a software download by the patient and a link within an e-mail to access the virtual waiting room. The aforementioned regulatory changes now allow ophthalmologists to use prevalent and intuitive audiovisual platforms that are not compliant with HIPAA. Although use of these systems may need to cease after the state of emergency, in the interim they may allow some providers provide services quickly.

Etiquette and Best Practices

Many interpersonal skills and procedures providers have mastered do not translate intuitively to best practices in virtual encounters. *Table 1* outlines best practices for VVs. As with any appointment, it is vital to first obtain explicit permission to conduct the visit. This permission is built into commercial systems as a requisite for entrance into the virtual waiting room. If an *ad hoc* system is used, informed consent must be obtained and documented.⁸

Second, the provider must find a private space with a professional backdrop and attire. The provider must also make the patient aware of all of the parties present to ensure the right to privacy.

Table 1. Best Practices for a Successful Virtual Visit

| TIP | SPECIFICATIONS AND REASONING |
|--|--|
| Position the device on a stable surface at eye level | Maximizes resolution |
| | Stabilization minimizes the number of pixels that need to refresh continuously |
| | Improves viewing angle and contrast |
| Have good lighting | Natural lighting on the face and eyes is ideal |
| | Accurately represents coloration and contrast of the skin and conjunctiva |
| | Maximizes resolution |
| | Indoor lighting and flashlights on mobile devices can substitute |
| | Provide natural lighting on the face and eyes, avoiding backlighting |
| Speak slowly and clearly | Transmission to patients and guardians will be most clear |
| Look at the camera when counseling | Gives the patient and guardian the impression that you are making eye contact |
| Positioning | Infant/toddler: parent's lap or high chair |
| | Child: lap, chair, or seat |
| | Teenager/adult: table, chair, or couch |

Third, VVs are most successful when the audio–video feeds are of high quality. Video feeds are optimized by ensuring that the computer, tablet, or phone is stabilized on a flat surface at eye level on each side. The patient should be stable as well (setting babies on laps and toddlers in highchairs is ideal). Most critical is good lighting on the face without backlighting; natural light from windows is ideal. Not only is good lighting critical for the patient but also important for the provider side. Well-lit providers allow patients to ascertain nonverbal facial cues during conversation. Also, placing the video feed of the patient close to the camera or looking slightly below the camera during discussion facilitates “eye contact.”

Fourth, the virtual examination is most successful in pediatric patients if completed first when the novelty of the virtual encounter is in play. History and counseling may be addressed later when the child can “leave” the discussion.

Fifth, there are benefits to clumping VVs together at the beginning or end of the clinic day. This minimizes the mental effort of “task-switching” (and timing synchronization) between VV and in-person visits. Morning VVs also provide the option for a same-day clinic visit if a concerning element is identified.

Virtual Examination

Many providers express incredulity that an ophthalmic examination might be performed virtually. Our experience

shows that much can be gleaned to facilitate medical decision-making and counselling.³ *Table 2* describes the methods that we have found useful. This listing is not exhaustive and evolves with experience. Asking patients to provide videos, pictures,⁹ and even home-based visual acuity measurements may be helpful, increasing efficacy of the virtual examination. If the history or examination is worrisome or unclear, we have a low threshold for a same-day clinic visit; this allows us to use VVs without concerns of jeopardizing patient safety. In some cases, VVs, by way of limiting our ability to examine, enhance the utility of a careful history, which can be very powerful from a diagnostic perspective.

Documentation and Billing

Each VV should be documented in the same manner as an in-person visit, with qualifiers that make the limitations clear. For example, we document “estimation via video observation during virtual visit” for various elements of the examination. We also describe exactly how we performed the examination (e.g., visual acuity in a baby: fixes and follows a toy moved side-to-side by parent). At the end of the note, we append that the VV was necessitated by the social distancing mandate, the location of the patient and provider, the individuals present, and the length of the visit highlighting the percentage time in face-to-face counseling. We bill VVs with Current Procedure Terminology evaluation and management codes, adding the GT modifier. We recommend checking with billing and compliance in your local environment to understand the appropriate approach.

Proposed Indications by Subspecialty

Our experience in VVs has been in pediatric ophthalmology and adult strabismus clinics, but we believe our breadth of practice translates well to other subspecialties. *Table 3* presents a list of suggestions that is certain to expand as the field of ocular telemedicine innovates. In some cases, a hybrid model where testing is performed in the office and history and review of results are performed virtually may limit exposures during a time of social distancing.

| Table 2. Virtual Examination Maneuvers | |
|--|--|
| ELEMENT | SUGGESTED VIRTUAL MANEUVER |
| Visual acuity | Infant/toddler |
| | Check fixate and follow with parent or sibling holding toy |
| | Screen share age-appropriate video and observe the child's fixation behavior |
| | Observe differences in response to occlusion |
| | Verbal child |
| | Ask child to describe items around the room with both eyes and each eye individually |
| | Older child/teenager/adult |
| | Ask patient to read items across the room and give a report of their relative visual acuity |
| | Screen share a visual acuity chart to determine relative acuity between eyes |
| | Downloadable American Academy of Ophthalmology recommends Verana Vision Test for adults |
| Color vision | Subjective red desaturation |
| Confrontational visual field testing | Best when the patient is using a computer rather than mobile phone for a wide display |
| | Attempt patient self-administration (subjective) |
| | Perform counting fingers or double simultaneous stimulation tasks |
| | Present of Amsler grid through video camera or screen share |
| Pupils | Observe |
| | Enhance with a flashlight if needed |
| | Relative afferent pupillary defect testing is difficult; findings can be confounded by accommodation to the screen |
| External examination | Observe under appropriate lighting |
| | Check for erythema, eyelid position and movement, margin-to-reflex distance, symmetry (or asymmetry) of skin folds |
| Eyelids and adnexa | Observe by bringing the eye close to the camera |
| | Ask the patient to lift the lids and look down to observe lacrimal gland |
| | Ask the patient to evert the lower eyelids by pulling down |
| Anterior segment | Observe |
| | Enhance observation with external lighting if needed; light reflex testing can show health of ocular surface |
| | Side illumination (as for Rizzuti sign in keratoconus) gives views into the anterior chamber and at the lens |
| Ocular motility | Utilize a parent or sibling to move a toy for young children |
| | Ask the patient to look in all directions of gaze |
| | Consider Doll's head maneuvers while the child views themselves or a movie on the video visit screen |
| | Ask the patient to conduct smooth pursuit and saccadic eye movements |
| Eye alignment | Observe the corneal light reflex in different directions of gaze |
| | Ask the parent or the patient to assist with cover-uncover and cross-cover testing and estimate the deviation |
| | Ask the patient to describe the relative separation in diplopic images in directions of gaze |

Table 3. Suggested Scenarios Across Ophthalmology Where Virtual Visits May Be Utilized

| COMPREHENSIVE OPHTHALMOLOGY | |
|--|--|
| Blepharitis | Triage, diagnosis, and initiation of conservative therapy in a patient with eye irritation |
| | Evaluation of response to conservative therapy |
| Chalazion | Triage, diagnosis, and initiation of conservative therapy |
| | Evaluation of response to conservative therapy |
| Dry eye syndrome | Triage, diagnosis, and initiation of conservative therapy in a patient with eye irritation |
| | Evaluation of response to conservative therapy |
| Conjunctival laceration | Diagnosis and determination of necessity of repair |
| | Follow-up evaluation to monitor resolution of pain, redness, irritation, and healing |
| Corneal abrasion | Evaluate for corneal opacification that might signify infection |
| | Follow-up evaluation to monitor resolution of pain, redness, irritation, and healing |
| | Follow-up evaluation of corneal light reflex to see whether it is sharp (and abrasion healed) |
| CORNEA | |
| Stable post-penetrating keratoplasty patient | Evaluation of medication adherence and subjective vision |
| Allergic, viral, or bacterial conjunctivitis | Triage, diagnosis, and initiation of treatment |
| | Follow-up evaluation to monitor response to treatment |
| GLAUCOMA | |
| Stable glaucoma patient | Evaluation of symptoms with self-administered confrontation visual fields |
| | Evaluation of medication adherence and subjective vision |
| Counseling of active glaucoma patient | In-person visit for intraocular pressure check and visual field evaluation followed by review of results virtually to minimize contact |
| Eye redness | Evaluation of adverse medication reaction |
| | Counseling of how to change administration |
| NEURO-OPHTHALMOLOGY | |
| Cranial nerve palsy/diplopia | Triage, diagnosis, and initiation of next steps in treatment |
| Idiopathic intracranial hypertension | Follow-up evaluation for adherence to treatment plan and recurrence/worsening of symptoms |
| Optic neuropathy | Follow-up evaluation of subjective visual function including acuity, color, and visual field |
| | Review ancillary testing including fundus photography, OCT, and automated perimetry |
| Nystagmus | Triage, diagnosis, and initiation of next steps in evaluation and treatment |
| Anisocoria | Triage, diagnosis, and initiation of next steps in evaluation |
| Strabismus | Triage, diagnosis, and initiation of next steps in evaluation and treatment |
| | Follow-up evaluation of treatment efficacy (prism glasses or strabismus surgery) |
| OCULOPLASTICS | |
| Preseptal cellulitis | Triage, diagnosis, and initiation of treatment |
| | Follow-up evaluation of treatment efficacy |
| Orbital cellulitis | Follow-up evaluation of treatment efficacy after discharge |
| Eyelid lesion | Triage, diagnosis, and initiation of treatment |
| | Postsurgical evaluation of healing and review of pathology |

continued →

Table 3. Suggested Scenarios Across Ophthalmology Where Virtual Visits May Be Utilized *continued*

| OCULOPLASTICS | |
|----------------------|--|
| Ptosis | Triage, diagnosis, and initiation of treatment plan |
| | Postsurgical evaluation of healing |
| Thyroid eye disease | Follow-up evaluation of ocular motility and proptosis |
| RETINA | |
| Flashes and floaters | Triage to understand the nature and suggest in-person evaluation if needed |
| | Consider patient-administered confrontation visual field testing |
| Macular degeneration | Review ancillary testing including fundus photography, OCT, and FA |
| | Amsler grid testing done through a screen share to the patient |
| Diabetic retinopathy | Review ancillary testing including fundus photography, OCT, and FA |
| | Counsel on blood glucose monitoring and control |
| UVEITIS | |
| Iritis | Follow-up to evaluate for redness and photophobia |

FA, fluorescein angiography; OCT, optical coherence tomography.

Conclusions

The current public health crisis necessitates innovation and shared development of best practices to allow for safe and efficient implementation of VVs. We hope this collation of experience inspires further creativity, and we believe that our field will emerge with new skills to deliver better care for our patients.

Disclosure Statement

No competing financial interests exist.

Funding Information

This study was funded by the Innovation and Digital Health Accelerator, Boston, MA, and the Children's Hospital Ophthalmology Foundation, Boston, MA. The funding organizations had no role in the production of this article.

REFERENCES

1. Michaud TL, Zhou J, McCarthy MA, Siahpush M, Su D. Costs of home-based telemedicine programs: A systematic review. *Int J Technol Assess Health Care* 2018;34:410–418.
2. McGrail KM, Ahuja MA, Leaver CA. Virtual visits and patient-centered care: Results of a patient survey and observational study. *J Med Internet Res* 2017;19:e177.
3. Shah AS, Meyers H, Brown C, et al. Direct-to-consumer virtual visits: Is this the next generation health-care delivery system for pediatric ophthalmology? *J Am Assoc Pediatric Ophthalmol Strabismus* 2018;22:e14–e15.
4. CDC. Interim guidance for healthcare facilities: Preparing for community transmission of COVID-19 in the United States. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. 2020. Available at <https://www.cdc.gov/coronavirus/2019-ncov/healthcare-facilities/guidance-hcf.html> (last accessed May 8, 2020).

5. CMS. Medicare telemedicine health care provider fact sheet. Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services. 2020. Available at <https://www.cms.gov/newsroom/fact-sheets/medicare-telemedicine-health-care-provider-fact-sheet> (last accessed May 8, 2020).
6. Harris PA. AMA applauds Medicare telemedicine policy change during pandemic. American Medical Association. 2020. Available at <https://www.ama-assn.org/press-center/ama-statements/ama-applauds-medicare-telemedicine-policy-change-during-pandemic> (last accessed May 8, 2020).
7. HHS. Notification of enforcement discretion for telehealth remote communications during the COVID-19 nationwide public health emergency. U.S. Department of Health and Human Services. 2020. Available at <https://www.hhs.gov/hipaa/for-professionals/special-topics/emergency-preparedness/notification-enforcement-discretion-telehealth/index.html> (last accessed May 8, 2020).
8. AMA. Ethical practice in telemedicine: Code of medical ethics opinion 1.2.12. American Medical Association. 2020. Available at <https://www.ama-assn.org/delivering-care/ethics/ethical-practice-telemedicine> (last accessed May 8, 2020).
9. Phanphruk W, Liu Y, Morley K, Gavin J, Shah AS, Hunter DG. Validation of StrabisPIX, a mobile application for home measurement of ocular alignment. *Transl Vis Sci Technol* 2019;8:9.

Address correspondence to:
Ankoor S. Shah, MD, PhD
Boston Children's Hospital
Department of Ophthalmology
Fegan 4, 300 Longwood Avenue
Boston, MA 02115
USA

E-mail: ankoor.shah@childrens.harvard.edu

Received: April 23, 2020

Revised: April 30, 2020

Accepted: April 30, 2020

Online Publication Date: May 14, 2020